

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,173	FIENE, DALE E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHARON E. PAYNE	2875	

All Participants:

(1) SHARON E. PAYNE.

(2) DALE FIENE.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2008

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: Approx. 12:15 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

47, 48, 56, 57, 66, 67

Prior art documents discussed:

Tieszen (U.S. Patent 5,141,449)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to cancel claims 47, 56, and 66 along with inserting the word "electrically" in claims 48, 57 and 67 to clarify the claims and make all allowed claims allowable over the prior art. See the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)